after Easter, and that will mean hundreds of deaths.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to yield to the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. Carter) if I may, for a brief reply.

Mr. CARTER. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. CARTER. Mr. Speaker, I thank the distinguished gentleman for yielding.

Any differences which were apparent between the two sides of the aisle have now been resolved and certain provisions on this side on the committee support the legislation as it is.

Mr. Speaker, I agree with the gentleman from West Virginia that the bill should become law, and the bill should be passed.

CALL OF THE HOUSE

Mr. SCHEERLE. Mr. Speaker, I make the point of order that a quorum is not present.

The SPEAKER. Evidently a quorum is not present.

Mr. O'NEILL. Mr. Speaker, I move a call of the House.

A call of the House was ordered.

The call was taken by electronic device, and the following Members failed to respond:

[Roll No. 158]

Aspin
Blatnik
Bolling
Bowen
Brownfield
Brooks
Carr
Carter
Cederman
Chisholm
Clark
Collier
Conde
Conyers
Coughlin
Kassen
Danielson
Debby
Dennis
Diggs
Dingle
Dorn

The SPEAKER. On this rollcall 373 Members have recorded their presence by electronic device.

By unanimous consent, further proceedings under the call were dispensed with.

S. 1745, SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME ACT OF 1974

Mr. STAGGERS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's desk the bill (S. 1745) to provide financial assistance for research activities for the study of sudden infant death syndrome, and for other purposes, with a Senate amendment to the House amendment thereto, and concur in the Senate amendment to the House amendment.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate bill.

The Clerk read the Senate amendment to the House amendment, as follows:

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled:

Sec. 1. This Act may be cited as the "Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Act of 1974".

Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Research

Sec. 2. (a) Section 441 of the Public Health Service Act is amended by striking out "an institution" and inserting "the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

(b) (1) Such section 441 is further amended by inserting "(a)" after "Sec. 441," and by adding at the end thereof the following: "(B) The Secretary shall transmit to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives the following information:

(1) Within ninety days following the close of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1975, and the close of each of the next two fiscal years, the Secretary shall report to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives and to the Committees on Interstate and Foreign Commerce of the House of Representatives and the Senate, the Committee on Labor and Public Welfare of the Senate and the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce of the House of Representatives, the amount requested for the preceding fiscal year, the amount of such funds, and a comparison of that amount with the amount of such funds. 

(3) (a) Title XI is amended by adding at the end thereof the following:

"PART C—SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME RESEARCH. "SUDDEN INFANT DEATH SYNDROME COUNSELING, INFORMATION, EDUCATIONAL, AND STATISTICAL PROGRAMS."

Sec. 1121. (a) The Secretary, through the Assistant Secretary for Health, shall carry out a program to develop public information and educational materials relating to sudden infant death syndrome and to disseminate such information and materials to public and nonprofit entities, and enter into contracts with public and private entities, for projects which include both—

(c) The provisions of this Act may be cited as the "Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Act of 1974".

Mr. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's desk the bill
Agriculture shall give priority to those rivers with respect to all rivers named in subparagraphs (82) of section 3(a) of this Act. In conducting these studies the Secretary of Agriculture shall give priority to those rivers with respect to which there is the greatest likelihood of developments which, if undertaken, would render the rivers unsuitable for inclusion in the national wild and scenic rivers system. Every such study and plan shall be conducted with any water resources planning involving the same river which is being conducted pursuant to the Water Resources Planning Act (79 Stat. 244; 42 U.S.C. 1962 et seq.).

"(a) In section 3(a) after paragraph (10), replace subparagraph (10) with the following:

"(10) CHATTOOGA, NORTH CAROLINA, SOUTH CAROLINA, and GEORGIA, beginning at the junction of Chattooga upstream 7.5 miles, as generally depicted on the boundary map entitled "Provisional Wild and Scenic Chattooga River and Corridor, August 1973," to be administered by the Secretary of Agriculture: Provided, That the Secretary of Agriculture shall provide for subsection (b) of this section within one year from the date of enactment thereof for the consideration of each river which it is proposed, farther, that for the purposes of this river, there are authorized to be appropriated not more than $2,000,000 for the acquisition of lands and interests in land and not more than $809,000 for development.""

(b) In section 7(b)(1) delete "five-year" and substitute "ten-year.

(c) In section 16 delete "$1,076,000," insert in lieu thereof "$37,600,000," delete subsection (a) and insert in lieu thereof the following:

"Sec. 4. (a) The Secretary of the Interior or, where national forest lands are involved, the Secretary of Agriculture or, in appropriate cases, the two Secretaries jointly shall study and submit to the President reports on the suitability or nonsuitability for addition to the national wild and scenic rivers system of rivers which are designated herein or hereafter by the Congress as potential additions to such system. The President shall report to the Congress his recommendations and proposals with respect to the designation of each such river or section thereof under this Act. Such studies shall be completed and such reports shall be made to the Congress with respect to all rivers named in subparagraphs (5)(a) (1) through (27) of this Act within three complete fiscal years after the date of enactment of this amendment; Provided, however, that with respect to the Suwanee River, Georgia and Florida, and the Upper Iowa River, Iowa, such study shall be completed and reports made thereon to the Congress prior to October 2, 1970. With respect to any river designated for potential addition to the national wild and scenic rivers system by Act of Congress subsequent to this Act, the study of such river shall be completed and reports thereon submitted by the Congress to the Congress within three complete fiscal years from the date of enactment of such Act. In conducting these studies the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture shall give priority to those rivers with respect to which there is the greatest likelihood of developments which, if undertaken, would render the rivers unsuitable for inclusion in the national wild and scenic rivers system. Every such study and plan shall be conducted with any water resources planning involving the same river which is being conducted pursuant to the Water Resources Planning Act (79 Stat. 244; 42 U.S.C. 1962 et seq.)."

The Clerk read the report, including maps and illustrations, shall show among other things the area included with the report; the characteristics which do or do not make the area a worthy addition to the system; the current status of land ownership and use in the area; the reasonably foreseeable uses of the land and water which would be enhanced, foreclosed, or curtailed, if the area were included in the national wild and scenic rivers system; the Federal agency (which in the case of a river which is wholly or substantially within a national forest, shall be the Department of Agriculture) by which it is proposed the area, should it be added to the system, be administered; the extent to which the area is proposed that such administration, including the costs thereof, be shared by State and local agencies; and the estimated cost to the United States of acquiring necessary lands and interests in land and of administering the area, should it be added to the system, for inclusion in the national wild and scenic rivers system; and, "(2) In section 5 delete subsection (b) and reletter subsections (c) and (d) as (b) and (c), respectively.

(3) In section 7(b)(1) delete all after "Act" and insert in lieu thereof "or for three complete fiscal years following any Act amending the Act and directing the Secretary of the Interior to conduct studies for potential addition to the national wild and scenic river system, whichever is later, that need to be dispensed with."

(4) In section 7(b)(1) delete "which is recommended", insert in lieu thereof "the report for which is submitted", and delete "for inclusion in the national wild and scenic rivers system".

The Clerk read the amendment to the Senate amendment, as follows:

"Sec. 16. (a) There are hereby authorized to be appropriated, including such sums as may be necessary, the following amounts for land acquisition for each of the rivers described in section 3(a) of this Act:

"'Clearwater, Middle Fork, Idaho, 2,909,600;"

'Eleven Point, Missouri, 4,906,500;

"'Feather, Middle Fork, California, 43,950,000;"

'Rio Grande, New Mexico, 253,000;

"'North, Oregon, 12,450,000;

"'St. Croix, Minnesota and Wisconsin, 11,768,550;"

'Salmon, Middle Fork, Idaho, 6,2,377,100; and

'Wolf, Wisconsin, 142,150;

(b) In section 16 and insert in lieu thereof:

"'Chippewa, Minnesota, 6,891,000;

'Pigeon, North Carolina, 3,126,000; and

'Mississippi, South Dakota, 6,000,000;"

'delete 'publish' and insert in lieu thereof 'notify the Committees on Interior and Insular Affairs and Appropriations, including a copy of the study upon which his determination was made, at least 180 days before the Congress is in session, prior to publishing';

'chicken, Georgia, 3,950,000; and

'Potomac, Maryland, 5,360,000; and

'(d) In section 15(c) delete 'for the purpose of protecting the scenic view from the river' and insert in lieu thereof 'the authorized boundaries of a component of the wild and scenic rivers system, including the description of the natural, the scenic river system';

'delete 'submit' and insert in lieu thereof 'the report for which is submitted', and delete 'for inclusion in the national wild and scenic rivers system.'

Mr. Taylor of North Carolina (closing the reading). Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that further reading of the amendment to the Senate amendment be dispensed with.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the amendment? No. The amendment is agreed to.

Mr. Kinkaid. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, and I shall not object, I ask the gentleman from North Carolina to explain the amendment.

Mr. Taylor of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, the amendment the gentleman from Kansas that an explanation is in order.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 942 was a bill to amend the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act to include the Chippewa River of North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia in the wild and scenic rivers system. This bill passed the House last December without opposition.
A second bill, S. 921, made some needed amendments to the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act and it, too, passed the House last December without opposition. The other body chose this second bill as a vehicle to attach an amendment the so-called Energy Emergency Act which the House rejected during the waning hours of the last session.

Later the House agreed to a unanimous consent request which I made to take S. 921 from the Speaker's table and to refer it to the Senate amendment.

Of course, this sent the bill back to the Senate but by that time the Senate had boxed itself into a corner so that it could not amend S. 921 which contained the House-approved language.

The Senate then amended H.R. 9493, the Chattooga River bill, so as to include the provisions of S. 921 with some minor changes. This amendment was germane because both bills were in the nature of amendments to the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968.

In the main, the language before us includes the two House bills. The Senate did change the language somewhat in regard to the definition of the Chattooga in the system but there is no substantive difference. The Senate added a paragraph which would extend the moratorium on water development projects for three complete fiscal years as it relates to future river areas which Congress may include in the study section of the bill.

The present House amendment strikes some obsolete language copied from the original act and tightens up the spending restrictions.

Mr. Speaker, the approval of this amendment will, I believe, lead to a conclusion of this relatively long, complicated legislative history. It is consistent with action which the House has already taken. I wholeheartedly endorse the legislation and recommend that the House concur in the Senate amendment with the amendment which I have offered.

Mr. SKUBITZ. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from North Carolina and withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

Mr. WAMPLER. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the right to object.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Virginia reserves the right to object.

Mr. WAMPLER. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire of the distinguished gentleman from North Carolina, is the New River involved in this legislation, or any amendments thereto?

Mr. TAYLOR of North Carolina. Yes. Mr. Speaker, the New River is involved in this legislation and the amendment does not affect the New River.

Mr. WAMPLER. I thank the gentleman, and I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

The Senate amendment, as amended, was concurred in.

SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 1974

Mr. MATSUNAGA. Mr. Speaker, by direction of the Committee on Rules, I call up House Resolution 1031 and ask for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. Res. 1031

Resolved, That during the consideration of the bill (H.R. 14013) making supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1974, and for other purposes, all points of order against said bill for failure to comply with the provisions of clauses 2 and 5 of rule XXI, except against sections 101 and 102 and the provisions contained under the heading "Payment to the Postal Service Fund" on page 27, lines 12 and 13, are hereby waived.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Hawaii is recognized for 1 hour.

Mr. MATSUNAGA. Mr. Speaker, I yield 30 minutes to the gentleman from California, pending which I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, House Resolution 1031 provides that during the consideration of the bill H.R. 14013, making supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1974, all points of order against said bill for failure to comply with the provisions of clauses 2 and 5 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, which prohibit unauthorized appropriations and reappropriations, are waived. Sections 101 and 102 and the provisions contained under the heading "Payment to the Postal Service Fund" on page 27, lines 12 and 13, however, are excepted from this waiver.

H.R. 14013 includes supplemental appropriations for the following departments: Agriculture; Defense; Housing and Urban Development; Interior; Labor; Health, Education, and Welfare; State; Justice; Commerce; Treasury; and Transportation. Supplemental appropriations are also included in the bill for the Judiciary; the District of Columbia; the Atomic Energy Commission; and for foreign operations, military construction, and claims and judgments against the Federal Government.

The grant lost in the budget—obligations—authority recommended in the bill is $8,657,162,043 which represents a net decrease of $1,855,573,900 from the estimates presented to the Congress.

Mr. Speaker, I urge the adoption of House Resolution 1031 in order that we may discuss and debate H.R. 14013.

Mr. GROSS. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. MATSUNAGA. I yield to the gentleman from Iowa.

Mr. GROSS. I do not understand why we must by rule waive all points of order with one exception on this bill. This is a supplemental appropriations bill applying, I should think, exclusively, to fiscal year 1974.

If the authorizations have not been approved at this time, they never will be approved for this fiscal year. Why is this a rule here waiving points of order with one exception?